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| VA Logo | *Innovation 873 Telepathology SME*  *Meeting Minutes* |

***Date****:* 10 April 2015

***LYNC****:* 855-767-1051

***Meeting Lead:*** John Kane

***Time***: 1:00 PM – 2:00 PM EST

***Conference ID:*** 92159751

***Facilitator:*** John Kane/Chris Naquin

***Scribe****:* John Kane/Chris Naquin

**Invitees:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **First Name** | | **Last Name** | **Title** |
| x | John | **Kane** | **Project Manager** |
| x | Chris | **Naquin** | **Project Coordinator** |
| x | Stuart | **Frank** | **Senior Developer** |
| x | Angela | **Barnes** | **Project Manager/ COR** |
|  | Larry | **Carlson** | **Innovator** |
|  | Stephen | **Chensue** | **Pathologist, SME** |
|  | Peter | **Bayer** | **Account Executive** |
| X | Nora | **Ratcliffe** | **Pathologist, SME** |
|  | Michael | **Icardi** | **Pathologist, SME** |
| X | Mark | **Gusak** | **Pathologist, SME** |
|  | Hon | **Pak** | **MD, FirstView Medical Information Officer** |
| x | Dee | **Cispo** | **Senior Engineer** |
| x | Nihant | **Bondugula** | **Security Engineer** |
| x | Kalpana | **Reddy** | **Test Engineer** |
| x | Wesley | **Shyu** | **Technical Writer/Developer** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Topic: Meeting Overview** | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Discussion:**  **Stuart Frank led the development portion of the meeting and stated he planned to discuss reporting workflow today. His goal was to explain how it is coded and determine if the plan is acceptable or requires changes. He updated the project status to include the fact that Aperio has been contacted and is now working closely with the Telepathology development team. Stuart displayed screen shots that portrayed information from a simulated referral site (N. Indiana) and a consult site (Ann Arbor). He edited a simulated report and displayed a simulated whole slide image. He discussed the workflow process for completing, verifying and releasing the report. He asked if this represented the workflow as the telepathologists understood it.**  **The SMEs discussed the need to create and verify a report. When a supplemental report is added, it “disappears” until the supplemental report is verified after which time it again becomes visible to the clinician. VistA will not allow viewing until a Supplemental report is verified.**  **Dr Chensue clarified that the 10 percent rule reflects a VA requirement that 10 percent of all VA cases be quality checked by a second pathologist after completion. Dr. Chensue and Stuart discussed the need to keep the Telepathology slides with the patient location (referral site) and have the supplemental report also stored with that file to keep them together at one site. Stuart and Dr Gusack discussed how to take a referral report and insert it into the consultant site. Automatic alerts would then be generated to alert a consulting site to take certain actions within a certain timeframe. Dr. Ratcliffe stated this process was similar to that used in tele-psychology. Dr Chensue stated that the Aperio program has a process to address this. The software is quite complex however. Stuart stated we are now working with Aperio on integration issues with a goal of reducing the many manual steps.**  **Dr. Gusack cautioned that the key to success with this process is to keep this complex system with a simple user interface and enable ease of configuration. Dr Chensue discussed use of barcodes as an element of automatating Telepathology. He stated that a barcode can generate a case to which you can attach Telepathology slides. It would pull data from VistA via the accession number. Stuart stated that the bar code and accession number is required for the Aperio system The previous Telepathology Patch 138 effort had an interface with HL7 whch Aperio used to register cases. Stuart stated the developers will test HL7 with Aperio.**  **Dr. Chensue asked if the developers had considered image management such as how long to keep images, who is authorized to delete as well as other administrative priveldging issues. Stuart stated he planned to use the Aperio process in these areas.**  Dr. Ratcliffe stated she had provided the name of a Telepatholgy workflow specialist. Stuart stated he will find the name and contact the individual. | |
| **Topic: Project Status/Simulation** | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Status:**   * Successful meeting have finally been held with Aperio. We are making good progress with them now that they received authorization to work with us from VA. * Security issues are being addressed by the assigned ISO. We are still seeking previous ATO information from the efforts that completed with patch 138.   **Simulation:**   * Stuart provided live screen shots which showed data entry for work flow. The goal was to gain concurrence from the SMEs with the process**.** | |

**Status of Actions from March 27 meeting.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action Item ID No.** | **Assigned Date** | **Description** | **Assigned To** | **Due Date / Revisit Date** | **Comments** |
| **1** | **3/27/15** | **Find a Workload SME to discuss Telepathology processes with Stuart.** | **Dr. Ratcliffe** | **N/A** | **Complete** |
| **2** | **3/27/15** | **Contact VA headquarters to help determine which hospitals use Telepathology capability currently (they could be ideal candidate as pilot sites).** | **Dr. Ratcliffe** | **N/A** | **N/A** |
| **3** | **3/27/15** | **Provide input to Angela to answer questions from March 26 email regarding list of COR questions.** | **Dr. Ratcliffe** | **N/A** | **Complete** |
| **4** | **3/27/15** | **Contact Leica/Aperio POC in order to enable discussion with VitelNet for Telepatholgy use of vendor products.** | **Angela/ Larry** | **N/A** | **Complete** |

**Additional Notes/Comments:**

* The next meeting will take place on April 24th. It will be a Sprint meeting as well as a SME discussion meeting.

|  |
| --- |
|  |